# Factors Predisposing to Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Pakistan

Sahira Wasim<sup>1</sup>, Imran Ghayoor<sup>2</sup>, Munira Shakir<sup>3</sup>, Ronak Afza<sup>4</sup>, Waqas Ali<sup>5</sup> <sup>1-5</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, Liaquat National University Hospital, Karachi

#### ABSTRACT

Purpose: To find out the factors predisposing to rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.

Study Design: Descriptive cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Liaquat National Hospital, Karachi, from October 2017 to April 2018.

**Methods:** After approval from ethical review committee 117 patients presenting with Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) were included in the study. A detailed history was taken including previous surgery and trauma. Complete ocular examination was performed including dilated fundus examination. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 21. Mean and standard deviation were computed for quantitative variable i.e. age and frequency. Percentages were calculated for qualitative variables i.e. gender, eye involved, lattice degeneration, trauma and intraocular surgery. Stratification was done with regards to age, gender, eye involved to see the effect of these modifiers on individual factor (lattice degeneration, trauma, intraocular surgery) by using chi square test and considering p value  $\leq 0.05$  as significant.

**Results:** There were 117 patients including 91 males and 26 females. Sixty four were right eyes and fifty three were left eyes. Mean age was  $37.30 \pm 8.97$  years. Lattice Degeneration was observed in 29.1% patents, Trauma was 37.6% and intraocular surgery was observed in 33.3% patients. There was a significant association of age with trauma (p = 0.045) and intraocular surgery (p = 0.001), which had statistically significant association with RRD.

**Conclusion:** Intraocular surgery, trauma were significantly associated with age and RRD. However, although lattice degeneration is an established risk factors for Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment it was not associated with increased age.

Key Words: Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment, Lattice Degeneration, Trauma, Intraocular Surgery.

How to Cite this Article: Wasim S, Ghayoor I, Shakir M, Afza R, Ali W. Factors Predisposing to Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Pakistan. Pak J Ophthalmol. 2021, **37 (1)**: 223-227.

Doi: http://doi.org/10.36351/pjo.v37i2.1172

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In RRD longer duration of detached retina involving

Correspondence: Sahira Wasim Department of Ophthalmology, Liaquat National University Hospital, Karachi Email: sahirawasim@gmail.com

Received: November 26, 2020 Accepted: February 10, 2021 the macula and foveal center causes profound vision loss in the affected eye leading to complete blindness if not treated in time.<sup>1,2</sup> According to some reports, the annual incidence of RD is between 6 to 12 per 100,000 population per year.<sup>3</sup> RD is classified into three types on the basis of clinical appearance. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD), Tractional retinal detachment (TRD) and Exudative/serous retinal detachment.<sup>4</sup>

Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment is an ophthalmic surgical emergenc,<sup>5</sup> and also the most

commonly seen type.<sup>6,7</sup> It is caused by liquefied vitreous which leads to traction forces producing a retinal break. Fluid gains access into the sub-retinal space and leads to RRD.<sup>8</sup> It is a common sight-threatening condition.<sup>9</sup> A study from Singapore reported an annual incidence of RRD as 10.5 per 100 000.<sup>10</sup> TRD and Exudative/serous retinal detachment are less common.

Common factors contributing to development of RRD are lattice degeneration, trauma and intraocular surgery.<sup>11,12</sup>

The aim of our study was to evaluate the frequency of common factors in our population as local data is scarce. It can be helpful in reducing the incidence and potential complications caused by RRD. In high risk cases, regular follow up will help in early detection to prevent delay in treatment and better surgical outcome can be achieved.

## **METHODS**

The study was conducted in Department of Ophthalmology, Liaquat National Hospital, Karachi, from  $31^{st}$  October 2017 to  $30^{th}$  April 2018, after approval from hospital ethical review committee. Sample size was calculated by WHO software for sample size. By taking Prevalence of lattice degeneration = 18.1%, d = 7%, and 95% confidence level, the calculated sample size was 117 eyes. Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used.

Inclusion Criteria was patients presenting with RRD, diagnosed by consultant ophthalmologist, age 20years and above and either gender. Patients with Tractional and exudative/serous detachments and RRD with vitreous hemorrhage were excluded. Verbal informed consent was obtained for all patients before data collection. All demographic information like name, age, gender were recorded. A detailed history was sought. History of cataract surgery was confirmed on examination. Slit lamp and dilated fundus examination was done pre-operatively to identify type of retinal detachment and associated factors as mentioned above. All information were collected on especially designed Performa.

Data was analyzed by using SPSS version 21. Mean and standard deviation were computed for quantitative variable i.e. age. Frequency and percentage were calculated for qualitative variables i.e. gender, eye involved, lattice degeneration, trauma, intraocular surgery. Stratification was done with regards to age, gender, eye involved to see the effect of these modifiers on individual factors (lattice degeneration, trauma, intraocular surgery) by using chi square test and considering p value  $\leq 0.05$  as significant.

### RESULTS

Total 117 patients of either gender with age more than 20 years were included. Out of total study subjects, 91 (77.8%) were males and 26 (22.2%) were females. There were 64 (54.7%) right and 53 (45.3%) left eyes (table-1). Mean age of the subjects was 37.30  $\pm$  8.97 years. Age was stratified into two groups. Age was further evaluated according to stratified groups. It was observed that mean age of patients with age  $\leq$  35 years was 28.12  $\pm$  4.52 years and it was 44.15  $\pm$  3.87 years among patients with age > 35 years. The detailed descriptive statistics of age are presented in Table-1.



Fig. 1: Histogram Presenting Distribution of Age (n = 117).

**Table 1:** Descriptive statistics of patients under study.

Variable	Frequency (%)				
Age (Mean ± SD)	$37.30 \pm 8.97$				
Age					
$\leq$ 35 years	50 (42.7%)				
>35 years	67 (57.2%)				
Gender					
Male	91 (77.8)				
Female	26 (22.2)				
Eye involved					
Right	64 (54.7)				
Left	53 (45.3)				

Lattice Degeneration	
Yes	34 (29.1)
No	83 (70.9)
Trauma	
Yes	44 (37.6)
No	73 (62.4)
Intraocular surgery	
Yes	39 (33.3)
No	78 (66.7)

It was observed that Lattice Degeneration was

observed in 29.1%, history of trauma was present in

37.6% and history of intraocular surgery was present in 33.3%. The detailed descriptive statistics are presented in Table-2.

Stratification with respect to gender, age, and eye involved was done to observe the effect of these modifiers on individual factors using chi square test. P-value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered significant. The results showed that there was significant association of age with trauma (p = 0.045) and intraocular surgery (p = 0.001). The detailed results are presented in Table-2.

**Table 2:** Association of demographic findings with lattice degeneration, trauma and intraocular surgery.

	Lattice D	egeneration	D voluo	Trauma		D volue	Intraocular surgery		D l a
	Yes	No	P-value	Yes	No	P-value	Yes	No	r-value
Age									
$\leq$ 35 years	18 (36)	32 (64)	0 152**	24 (48)	26 (52)	0.045*	8 (16)	42 (84)	0.001*
> 35 years	16 (23.9)	51 (76.1)	0.155	20 (29.9)	47 (70)	0.043*	31 (46.3)	36 (53.7)	0.001*
Gender									
Male	26 (28.6)	65 (71.4)	0 0 0 0 **	35 (38.5)	56 (61.5)	0.721**	30 (33)	61 (66.7)	0 0 0 0 **
Female	8 (30.8)	18 (69.2)	0.828	9 (34.6)	17 (65.4)	0.721	9 (34.6)	17 (65.4)	0.828
Eye involved									
Right	21 (33.3)	42 (66.7)	0.226**	20 (31.7)	43 (68.3)	0.226**	22 (34.9)	41 (65.1)	0 629**
Left	12 (23.1)	40 (76.9)	0.220	24 (46.2)	28 (53.8)	0.220	16 (30.8)	36 (69.2)	0.038

Chi-square test is applied.

\*Significant at p-value < 0.05

\*\*Insignificant at p-value > 0.05

#### DISCUSSION

Our study findings showed more male patients than females with mean age  $37.30 \pm 8.97$  years with range of 20 to 50 years. There were more right eyes which had RRD. Other studies have shown that 3.1% cases RRD had history of trauma. In Ethopia, cases of RRD had history of trauma. This percentage was 30% in South Africa and 8% in Kenya.<sup>4</sup> Risk of RRD with cataract surgery was 19% from Minnesota studies, 30.8% in Swedish and 10% in Chinese reports. This association is also reported in UK and South American studies.<sup>10</sup> A higher proportion of lattice degeneration was found in Japanese studies (60 – 65%).<sup>10</sup>

Laser photocoagulation and Cryotherapy are effective in preventing RRD if holes are detected earlier. Due to the patient's unawareness and on-going pre/intra/sub-retinal fibrosis there is development of proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), making the surgical correction a difficult procedure and worsening the visual outcomes.

Our study showed that majority of the detachments were found around 50 years of age. It was also seen as males were more commonly affected with

RRD than females. The reason could be outdoor activities make the males more prone to trauma.

In third world countries there seemed to be a trend towards delayed presentation of retinal detachments to retina specialists.<sup>12</sup> Lack of education and limited clinical resources are the likely contributory factors. Average presentation time in these patients was 97.24  $\pm$  16.95 days. This much time considerably compromises visual and anatomical surgical success rates.<sup>13</sup> The major risk factors associated with increased incidence of RRD were intraocular surgery,<sup>14</sup> trauma and peripheral lattice degeneration in decreasing order of frequency.<sup>15</sup>

In another study, among 107 operated eyes, there were 44.8% myopic eyes and lattice degeneration was present in 39.5% of the myopic eyes. However, we did not study this factor in our study. A UK based study reported an overall, mean prevalence of lattice degeneration to be  $45.7 \pm 20.3\%$  and of myopia to be  $47.28 \pm 12.59\%$ .<sup>10</sup> In a study majority of the myopic detachments (45 of 48) were present in phakic eyes depicting their early age wise occurrence before patients usually undergo senile cataract surgery.<sup>11</sup>

Burton reported that patients between 40 and 60 years of age with premature posterior vitreous separation and tractional tears are found among those with lattice degeneration and low to moderate degrees of myopia leads to detachments.<sup>16</sup> Similar presentation of 37% of total RRD in phakic and aphakic eyes in a total of 114 eyes has been reported in India by Rajendran.<sup>17</sup>

Studies from Pakistan reported 95% macula-off detachments in a series of 45 cases<sup>18</sup> and 80% in another series of 175 cases of RRD.<sup>19</sup> Inferior as compared to superior half and temporal as compared to nasal half were observed to be more frequently affected.<sup>11</sup>

Sometimes no break is identified in RRD. Factors contributing to inability to find out primary breaks in aphakic/pseudophakic eyes include small size of break, peripherally located breaks, poor visualization on indirect ophthalmoscopy due to distortions by intraocular lens and obscuration by peripheral capsular opacification.<sup>20</sup>In 38.3% eyes only one break could be identified and in 29.9% eyes two or more breaks were present. Majority of the primary breaks were horse-shoe tears.<sup>21</sup>

The main limitation of our study was the small sample size. It was a single-center experience and descriptive cross sectional study design. Only few factors were studied. Further studies addressing other factors need to be done from other centers.

## CONCLUSION

Trauma with 37.6% was the commonest factor followed by intraocular surgery with 33.3% and lattice degeneration with 29.1%. Age was found as a significant factor for trauma and intraocular surgery.

## **Ethical Approval**

The study was approved by the Institutional review board/ Ethical review board. (0350-2017-LNH-ERC).

## **Conflict of Interest**

Authors declared no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

1. **Steel D.** Retinal detachment. BMJ Clin Evid. 2014; 2014: 0710.

- 2. Caiado RR, Magalhaes Jr O, Badaro E, Maia A, Novais EA, Stefanini FR, et al. Effect of lens status in the surgical success of 23-gauge primary vitrectomy for the management of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: the Pan Am. Collaborative Retina Study (PACORES) group results. Retina. 2015; **35** (2): 326-333.
- Khanzada MA, Wahab S, Hargun LD. Impact of duration of macula off rhegmatogenous retinal detachment on visual outcome. Pak J Med Sci. 2014; 30 (3): 525.
- 4. **Solomon B, Teshome T.** Factors predisposing to rhegmatogenous retinal detachment among Ethiopians. Ethiop J Health Dev. 2011; **25** (1): 31-34.
- 5. Feltgen N, Walter P. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment an ophthalmologic emergency. Deut Arztebl Int. 2014; 111 (1-2): 12.
- Tareen S, Tahir MA, Cheema AM. Surgical audit of outcome of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment repair at Vitreoretinal unit JPMC in year 2014. Pak J Med Sci. 2016; 32 (1): 101.
- 7. **Poulsen CD, Peto T, Grauslund J, Green A.** Epidemiologic characteristics of retinal detachment surgery at a specialized unit in Denmark. Acta Ophthalmologica. 2016; **94** (6): 548-555.
- 8. Lumi X, Luznik Z, Petrovski G, Petrovski BE, Hawlina M. Anatomical success rate of pars planavitrectomy for treatment of complex rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. BMC Ophthalmol. 2016; 16 (1): 216.
- Mitry D, Singh J, Yorston D, Siddiqui MR, Wright A, Fleck BW, et al. The predisposing pathology and clinical characteristics in the Scottish RD study. Ophthalmology, 2011 Jul; 118 (7): 1429-1434.
- 10. Mitry D, Charteris DG, Fleck BW, Campbell H, Singh J. The epidemiology of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: geographical variation and clinical associations. Br J Ophthalmol. 2010; **94** (6): 678-684.
- 11. Jamil MH, Farooq N, Khan MT, Jamil AZ. Characteristics and Pattern of Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment in Pakistan. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2012; 22 (8): 501-504.
- 12. Yorston D, Jalali S. Retinal detachment in developing countries. Eye, 2002; 16: 353-358.
- 13. James M, O'Doherty M, Beatty S. The prognostic influence of chronicity of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment on anatomic success after re-attachment surgery. Am J Ophthalmol. 2007; **143:** 1032-1034.
- 14. **Ripandelli G, Coppé AM, Parisi V, Olzi D, Scassa C, Chiaravalloti A, et al.** Posterior vitreous detachment and retinal detachment after cataract surgery. Ophthalmol. 2007; **114:** 692-697.
- Gariano RF, Kim CH. Evaluation and management of suspected retinal detachment. Am Fam Physician 2004; 69: 1691-1698.

- Burton TC. The influence of refractive error and lattice degeneration on the incidence of retinal detachment. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1989; 87: 143-157.
- 17. **Rajendran B, Pradeep B, Sitaramanjaneyulu B.** Retinal detachments in phakics and aphakics: a clinical study. Indian J Ophthalmol. 1983; **31:** 1060-1063.
- Jan S, Iqbal A, Saeed N, Ishaq A, Khan MD. Conventional retinal re-attachment surgery. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2004; 14: 470-473.
- Adhi MI, Jan MA, Ali A, Rizvi F, Aziz MU, Hasan KS. Retinal detachment surgery by scleral buckling procedure: experience in 175 Cases. Pak J Ophthalmol. 1996; 12: 85-90.
- Lee JY, Min S, Chang WH. Clinical characteristics of traumatic rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. J Korean OphthalmolSoc.2009; 50: 1207-1214.
- Solomon SD, Dong LM, Haller JA, Gilson MM, Hawkins BS, Bressler NM. Risk factors for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in the submacular surgery trials: SST report No. 22. Retina, 2009; 29 (6): 819-824.

#### **Authors' Designation and Contribution**

Sahira Wasim; Resident: Concepts, Design, Literature search, Data acquisition, Manuscript preparation, Manuscript editing, Manuscript review.

Imran Ghayoor; Professor: Concepts, Design, Manuscript preparation, Manuscript editing, Manuscript review.

Munira Shakir; Associate Professor: *Manuscript* preparation, *Manuscript* editing, *Manuscript* review.

Ronak Afza; Resident: *Literature search, Data acquisition, Data analysis, Statistical analysis.* 

Waqas Ali; Resident: Literature search, Data analysis, Statistical analysis, Manuscript review.

